

Improving Representation in Clinical Trials and Research

Building Research Equity for Women and Underrepresented Groups

The National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine undertook the most comprehensive examination to date of the representation of women and underrepresented populations in clinical trials in research. This included a review of the health and economic impact this lack of inclusion has on the United States, a review of factors that prevent full inclusion, and facilitators to broaden representation in clinical trials and research in the United States.

The study committee found that while progress has been made with representation of white women in clinical trials and clinical research, there has been little progress in the last three decades to increase participation of racial and ethnic minority population groups. This underrepresentation is compounding health disparities, with serious consequences for underrepresented groups and for the nation.

The report emphasizes the urgency needed to address this issue, as failure to do so will only prove more costly over time and will prevent meaningful reductions in disparities in chronic conditions. However, improving representation in clinical trials and research requires an investment of time, money, and effort. Building trust with local communities requires a sustained commitment and presence and investing in systems and technologies to reduce barriers to participation takes resources. This investment is the responsibility of everyone involved in the clinical research landscape, which is complex and involves multiple stakeholders. However, we must *all* make this a priority to drive change on a systems level.

As the largest federal sponsor of clinical trials in the United States, NIH has a large role to play in diversifying the clinical trial enterprise. They have the ability to be an accountability check for individual investigators, as well as provide guidance to

Institutional Review Boards (IRBs) on equitable compensation for research participants.

NIH has been working on this issue since 1985 and has made progress in a number of areas, including the representation of women in clinical trials and research, largely thanks to the creation of the Office of Research on Women's Health in 1990. However, key gaps remain.

An analysis commissioned for the report shows that representation of racial and ethnic minority populations in NIH-sponsored trials has largely stalled. Although representation varies widely by institute, it is clear that action is needed to improve representation of racial and ethnic minority populations in clinical trials and research.

In addition to having responsibility as the major federal funder of clinical trials in the United States, NIH also runs *ClinicalTrials.gov*, which tracks clinical trial participation in this country. However, major gaps in the collection of data within *ClinicalTrials.gov* means we do not have a full grasp on who is participating in trials in this country. FDA provides an analysis of participants in approved drugs and we were able to analyze data from NIH-sponsored trials, but these leaves many clinical trials unaccounted for.

RECOMMENDATION 1

The Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) should establish an intradepartmental task force on research equity charged with coordinating data collection and developing better accrual tracking systems across federal agencies, including FDA, NIH, CDC, AHRQ, HRSA, IHS, and CMS.

RECOMMENDATION 3

The NIH should standardize the submission of demographic characteristics for trials to *clinicaltrials.gov* beyond existing guidelines so that trial characteristics are labeled uniformly across the database and can be easily disaggregated, exported, and analyzed by the public.

RECOMMENDATION 4

In grant proposal review, the NIH should formally incorporate considerations of participant representativeness in the score-driving criteria that assess the scientific integrity and overall impact of a grant proposal.

RECOMMENDATION 12

Federal regulatory agencies, including OHRP, NIH, and FDA, should develop explicit guidance to direct local IRBs on equitable compensation to research participants and their caregivers.

RECOMMENDATION 13

All sponsors of clinical trials and clinical research (e.g., federal, foundation, private and/or industry) should ensure that trials provide adequate compensation for research participants.

RECOMMENDATION 14

All entities involved in the conduct of clinical trials and clinical research should ensure a diverse and inclusive workforce, especially in leadership positions.

COMMITTEE ON IMPROVING THE REPRESENTATION OF WOMEN AND UNDERREPRESENTED MINORITIES IN CLINICAL TRIALS AND RESEARCH

Kirsten Bibbins-Domingo (*Chair*)

Editor in Chief, Journal of the American Medical Association; MD Endowed Chair and Professor of Medicine, University of California, San Francisco

Marcella Alsan

Professor of Public Policy, Harvard Kennedy School; Co-Director of Health Care Delivery Initiative Abdul Latif Jameel Poverty Action Lab, Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Arleen Brown

Professor of Medicine, University of California, Los Angeles; Chief of General Internal Medicine and Health Services Research, Olive View-UCLA Medical Center

Gloria Coronado

Epidemiologist and Mitch Greenlick Endowed Distinguished Investigator in Health Disparities Research, Kaiser Permanente Center for Health Research

Carlos del Rio

Distinguished Professor of Medicine, Emory University School of Medicine; Professor of Epidemiology and Global Health, Rollins School of Public Health, Emory University; Executive Associate Dean for Emory University School of Medicine, Grady Health System

XinQi Dong

Director of the Institute for Health, Health Care Policy, and Aging Research (IH) and Inaugural Henry Rutgers Professor of Population Health Sciences, Rutgers University

Dana Goldman

Dean of the Sol Price School of Public Policy, C. Erwin and Ione L. Piper Chair, and Leonard D. Schaeffer Director's Chair, Schaeffer Center for Health Policy & Economics, University of Southern California

Sharon Inouye

Professor of Medicine, Harvard Medical School; Milton and Shirley F. Levy Family Chair, and Director, Aging Brain Center, Marcus Institute for Aging Research, Hebrew SeniorLife

Amelia Knopf

Assistant Professor of Nursing, Indiana University

Edith A. Perez

Chief Medical Officer of Bolt Therapeutics, Inc.; Professor of Medicine, Mayo Clinic; Director of the Mayo Clinic Breast Cancer Translational Genomics Program

Phyllis Pettit Nassi

Associate Director for Research and Science, Special Populations, American Indian Program, Huntsman Cancer Institute, University of Utah

Jason Resendez

President and CEO, National Alliance for Caregiving

Susan Schaeffer

Founder, President, and CEO of The Patients' Academy for Research Advocacy.

STUDY STAFF

Alex Helman

Study Director and Senior Program Officer, Committee on Women in Science, Engineering, and Medicine

Ashley Bear Director, Committee on Women in Science, Engineering, and Medicine

Laura Aiuppa Senior Program Officer, Board on Health Care Services

Austen Applegate Research Associate, Committee on Women in Science, Engineering, and Medicine

John Veras Senior Program Assistant, Committee on Women in Science, Engineering, and Medicine

Abigail Harless Senior Program Assistant, Committee on Women in Science, Engineering, and Medicine

Mollie Marr Mirzayan Fellow, Committee on Women in Science, Engineering, and Medicine

Anne Marie Houppert, Senior Librarian

For additional information regarding the consensus study, visit <http://www.nationalacademies.org/cwsem>.

**Policy and Global Affairs Division
Health and Medicine Division**

Copyright 2022 by the National Academy of Sciences. All rights reserved.

**NATIONAL
ACADEMIES** *Sciences
Engineering
Medicine*

The National Academies provide independent, trustworthy advice that advances solutions to society's most complex challenges.

www.nationalacademies.org